African Journal of Biological Sciences

Research Paper Open Access

Determine The Age in Male Gazelle (*Gazella Subguttrosa*) By Using New Formula

Khalid Ismael Oleiwi[1], Elaf Abdulqader Nayyef[1] ,Hind Suhail Abid[2] [1]Fallujah university-Vet. Med. Collage- Int. Vet. Med. Dept.

[2]Fallujah university-Vet. Med. Collage-Vet. Public health Dept.

Corresponding author (*): Khalid Ismael Oleiwi

Article Info

Volume 6, Issue 8, April 2024
Received: 12 Oct 2023
Accepted: 05 December 2023
Published: 06 April 2024

Abstract

Horns in 64 male gazelles (*Gazella subgutturosa marica*) (40 live males and 24 dead males) were examined and followed up. The number of rings of horns every year was determined and compared with dentition profiles and birth records, which were bred in government and private protectorates in Anbar province, Iraq, for the period between 2010 and 2020. Results show male gazelles are born without horns. At the first six months of age, three rings will appear in each horn. Male gazelles, when they reach one year old, will have nine horn rings. After the ninth rings, we can count three rings for each year, respectively, so in 2nd year olds we can count 12 rings, in 3rd year olds 15 rings, in 4th year olds 18 rings, and in 5th year olds 21 rings. The results of this study indicate that there is a relationship between age and the number of horn rings in *Gazella subgutturosa* males, and we can use this to determine the age.

Key words: Zella, Horn, Rings, Age

Introduction

According to the last report for the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), *Gazella subgutturosa* is a globally vulnerable (VU) species listed in the Red List assessment whose current population trend is decreasing (IUCN).

Age determination plays a main role in wildlife management and conservation works because it helps improves the quality of data on population patterns, growth rates, sexual maturity, fertility ratio, life span, age-related mortality rates, as well as social behaviour (Craighead *et al*., 1970; Spinage, 1973; Karanth, 2003; Kerley *et al*., 2003). The age distribution of a population can also provides guides about population growth and stability, as well as their impact on population features and ecological patterns (Krebs, 1999; Williams *et al*., 2002). In addition, studies like genetics, diseases, evolutionary ecology, life-history planning, and ecosystem components need information on age-related criterions (Gaillard *et al., 1994*; Bingham & Purchase, 2003). Details of

age are considered critical information for administrative solution and wildlife conservation plans, especially for species that are threatened or at risk of extinction, such as gazelles (Armstrong & Seddon 2008). There are many studies related to age determination in wild animals that used different methods to determine the age, like tooth replacement and wear (Foley et al., 2022), tooth eruption (Munro *et al*., 2009), dental cementum annuli (Veiberg *et al*., 2020), X-rays (Simon & Frydendall, 1981), the length of the telomere (Haussmann & Vleck, 2002), and DNA methylation (DNAm) (De Paoli-Iseppi *et al*., 2017). There are few studies that deal with the horns of gazelles, and most of these studies focus on the length or shape of the horns (Wronski and Sandouka 2010; Schreiber 2022).

This study aimed to determine the age in male gazelle (Gazella subguttrosa) by using new formula.

Materials and Methods

The growth of horns in 64 male gazelles (*Gazella subgutturosa marica*) was examined and followed up; the number of rings of horns every year was determined and compared with the dentition profile and birth records. The distribution of these males was as follows: 40 live males and 24 dead males as a result of health and management problems that bred in government and private protectorates in Anbar province, Iraq, for the period between 2010 and 2020.

Results and Discussion

Male gazelles are born without horns (Fig. 1), and this applies to females as well. The horns in males begin to grow and differentiate with time more than in females. Horn rings start to grow and differentiate more with time.



Fig.1. male gazelle 1 day age, with no horns At

the first 6 months, age 3 rings will appear in each horn (Fig.2).

Figure 2. male gazelle 6 months age, noticed there were three rings in each horn.

Male gazelle When we reach one year old, we will have nine horn rings (Fig. 3), and then after the ninth rings, we can count three rings for each year, respectively, so in 2nd year, we can count 12 rings (Fig. 4), in 3rd year, 15 rings (Fig. 5), in 4th year, 18 rings (Fig. 6), in 5th year, 21 rings (Fig. 7) …etc., according to the results summarized in table 1.

Figure 3. male gazelle 1 year old (9 rings)

Figure 4. Male gazelle 2 years old(12 rings)

Figure 5.Male gazelle 3 years old(15 rings)

Figure 6. Male gazelle 4 years old(18 rings)

Figure 7. Male gazelle 5 years old (21 rings).

Table 1. Number of horn rings per year

Age (year)	0.5	1	2	3	4	5	6
Horn Rings No.	3	9	12	15	18	21	24

Discussion

Determine the age of *Gazella subgutturosa marica* by using different morphological features, which will be more accurate and would support wildlife protectors and scientists in determining sex ratios and accordingly help manage free-ranging populations that cannot easily be approached (Cunningham *et al.,* 2011). Many studies have referred to the lifespan of male gazelles not exceeding 7 years in captivity (Zhevnerov & Bekenov, 1983; Cunningham *et al*., 2011). Kingswood & Blank (1996) mention that the new-born calf has funnel-shaped curls of hair at the site of future horn development; these agree with the results of our study.

Many studies referred to the relationship between horn growth and age, but most of these studies dealt with length and measurement of horns. According to Jeffery & Hanks (1980), growth in horn length was a suitable criterion for the age determination of eland *Taurotragus oryx.* Cunningham *et al*. (2011) used horn length as one of the most useful properties to use for age determination in *Gazella subgutturosa* in the field. Gurler *et al*. (2015) also discussed horn length to describe the gazelle population in Turkey.

Few studies have referred to horn's rings and their relationship with age or described them; most studies just describe horn's rings as horn characters in gazelles (Dookia & Goyal, 2007; David *et al., 2010*; Kingdon & Hoffman, 2013).

We can say that the closest study related to this subject was done by Zhu *et al.* (1992), which mentioned there were 3–4 convex ridges in horns every year, and these results disagree with ours. This is because Zhu *et al*. (1992) relied on samples of skulls and didn't depend on live animals or direct investigation as in our study, which relied on most samples of live animals and continued for several years.

Conclusion

The results of this study indicate that there is a relationship between age and the number of horn rings in *Gazella subgutturosa* males, and we can use the formula mentioned in Table 1 to determine the age.

References

Armstrong D.P. and Seddon P.J. (2008) Directions in reintroduction biology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 23: 20–25. 10.1016/j.tree.2007.10.003

Bingham J. and Purchase G.K. (2003) Age determination in jackals (*Canis adustus Sundevall*, 1846, and *Canis mesomelas Schreber*, 1778; Carnivora: Canidae) with reference to the age structure and breeding patterns of jackal populations in Zimbabwe. *African Zoology* 38: 153–160.

Craighead J.J.; Craighead F.C. and McCutchen H.E. (1970) Age determination of grizzly bears from fourth premolar tooth sections. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 34: 353–363.

Cunningham P.L.; Sandouka M.A. and Wronski T.(2011). Morphological characteristics and ageing criteria of sand gazelle (*Gazella subgutturosa marica* Thomas, 1897) and their use for wildlife management. Eur. J. Wildl. Res.

David M.; Les;ie J.R.;Colin P. G. AND Alexei .A.(2010). Mammalian species; *Procapra przewalskii* (Artiodactyla: Bovidae). American Society of Mammalogists J. 42(860):124-137.

De Paoli-Iseppi R.;Deagle B.E.; McMahon C.R.; Hindell M.A.;Dickinson J.L. and Jarman S.N.(2017).Measuring animal age with DNA Methylation:from human to wild animals. Frontiers in Genetics J.,8;106.

Dookia, S. and Goyal, S. P. (2007). Chinkara or Indian Gazelle. In "Ungulates of Peninsular India" (Eds. K. Sankar and S. P. Goyal), ENVIS Bulletin, Wildlife Institute of India. 103-114 .

Foley A. M.; John S. L.; Cortez O.; Hellickson M.W.; Hewitt D.G. Randy W. DeYoung R.W.; DeYoung C.A. and Schnupp M.J.(2022) Accuracies and biases of ageing white-tailed deer in semiarid environments. *Wildlife Research*, **49**, 237–249.

Gaillard J.M.; Allaine D.; Pontier D.; Yoccoz N.G. and Promislow DEL (1994) Senescence in naturalpopulations of mammals: a reanalysis. *Evolution* 48: 509–516.

Gurler S.;,Bozkaya F.; O zut D. and Durmus M.(2015). Some morphological characteristics and neonatal weights of reintroduced gazelle (*Gazella subgutturosa*) in Turkey. Turk J Zool. 39: 458-466.

Haussmann M.F. and Vleck C.M. (2002) Telomere length provides a new technique for aging animals. Oecologia 130: 325–328.

IUCN, The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, 2020. Version 2020-1.

Jeffery, R.C.V and Hanks, J.(1980). Age determination of eland *Taurotragus oryx* (pallas, 1766) in the Natal Highveld. S. Afr. J. Zool., 16(2),113-122.

Karanth K.U. (2003) Tiger ecology and conservation in the Indian subcontinent. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 100: 169–189.

Kerley L.L.; Goodrich J.M. ; Miquelle D.G.; Smirnov E.N.; Quigley H.B.and Hornocker M.G.(2003) Reproductive parameters of wild female Amur (Siberian) tigers (*Panthera tigris altaica*). J. of Mammalogy 84: 288–298.

Kingdon J.and Hoffmann M.(2013).Mammals of Africa. C&C Offset Printing Co. China.VI.355.

Kingswood S.C. and Blank D.A. (1996) *Gazella subgutturosa*. Mamm Species 518:1–10.
Krebs C.J. (1999) Ecological methodology New York: Addison-Wesley; 1–620 .
Munro N.D.; Bar-Oz B.G. and Stutz A.J.(2009) Aging mountain gazelle (*Gazella gazella*): refining methods of tooth eruption and wear and bone fusion. J. of Arch. Sci. 36 ; 752–763.
Schreiber A.(2022). Evolution of horn shape and sex dimorphism in subspecies of the dama gazelle (Bovidae: *Nanger dama* (Pallas 1766). Hystrix It. J. Mamm. 33(2):172–185.
Simon D.E. and Frydendall M.J. (1981) Age study of Minnesota red fox using cementum annulae counts and tooth x-rays. JMAS 46: 2–5.
Spinage C.A. (1973) A review of the age determination of mammals by means of teeth with especial reference to africa. *East African Wildlife Journal* 11: 165–187.
Veiberg V.; Nilsen E.B.; Rolandsen C.M.; Heim M.; Andersen R.; Holmstrøm F.; Erling L.; Meisingset E.L. and Solberg E.J.(2020) The accuracy and precision of age determination by dental cementum annuli in four northern cervids. European J. of Wildlife Res. 66: 91.
Williams B.K.; Nichols J.D. and Conroy M.J. (2002) Analysis and management of animal populations: modeling, estimation, and decision making USA: Academic Press; 1–817 .
Wronski T. and Sandouka M.A. (2010). Growth stages and ageing criteria of Arabian Mountain gazelles (*Gazella gazella Pallas*, 1766:Antilopinae,Bovidae). Mamm. Bio.J. **75**, 74–82.
Zhevnerov V.V. and Bekenov A.B. (1983) Mammals of Kazakhstan. Nauka of Kazakh SSR, Alma-Ata. In: Kingswood S.C. and Blank D.A., editors. *Gazella subgutturosa*. Mamm. Species 518:1–10.
Zhu X.; Xiao H. and Wu J.(1992).Age determination of Goitred Gazelle (*Gazella subguttrosa*). Zoo. Res.J.13(2):95-99.