ISSN: 2663-2187



Research Paper

**Open Access** 

# Efficacy of vinegar as a disinfectant on the microbial quality of *Lactuca sativa* L.

Kubrat A. Oyinlola<sup>1\*</sup>, Gbemisola E. Ogunleye<sup>2</sup>, Augustina I. Balogun<sup>3</sup> and K.I. Ayo-Abimbola<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Koladaisi University, Ibadan, Oyo State. Nigeria. E-mail: bibimola.abiola@gmail.com; kubrat.oyinlola@koladaisiuniversity.edu.ng

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Koladaisi University, Ibadan, Oyo State. Nigeria. E-mail: gbemisola.ogunleye@koladaisiuniversity.edu.ng

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Koladaisi University, Ibadan, Oyo State. Nigeria. E-mail: augustina.balogun@koladaisiuniversity.edu.ng

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Koladaisi University, Ibadan, Oyo State. Nigeria. E-mail: kasope.ifeoluwa@gmail.com

## Abstract

#### Article Info

Volume 4, Issue 3, July 2022 Received : 16 November 2021 Accepted : 23 April 2022 Published : 05 July 2022 *doi: 10.33472/AFJBS.4.3.2022.116-123*  Vegetables are widely exposed to microbial contamination, thus, harbor diverse microorganisms which may lead to infection outbreak. Microbial load on lettuce was estimated in this study, with vinegar, as a disinfecting agent. Randomly purchased samples were analyzed using standard microbiological methods to estimate microbial load in relation to disinfectant concentration and exposure time. Microbial load of samples rinsed with sterile distilled (control experiment) and tap water were  $3.8 \times 10^{6}$  CFU/g and  $4.0 \times 10^{6}$  CFU/g, while those rinsed with vinegar ranged from  $1.0 \times 10^5$  CFU/g to  $2.7 \times 10^6$  CFU/g. Upon subjection to different exposure times (0, 5, 10 min) and vinegar concentrations (0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, and 2%), gradual reduction in microbial load was observed from  $2.9 \times 10^7$ CFU/g, when rinsed with 0.5% vinegar to  $1.0 \times 10^6$  CFU/g with 2.0% vinegar at initial time (0 min), at 5 min exposure, microbial load reduced from  $1.9 \times 10^7$ CFU/g at 1.0% concentration to  $3.0 \times 10^5$  CFU/g with 2.0% vinegar concentration, while the exposure of lettuce to 2.0% vinegar concentration for 10 min, showed no observable microbial growth. Lettuce samples analyzed were heavily contaminated with microorganisms, however, 2% vinegar at 10 min exposure time, was most effective at eliminating microbes. Healthy-looking vegetables may possibly harbor microorganisms, as such, good sanitary measures should be adopted before consumption.

*Keywords:* Vegetable, Microbial contamination, Disinfection, Ready-to-eat, Vinegar, Food safety

© 2022 Kubrat A. Oyinlola *et al.* This is an open access article under the CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## 1. Introduction

Vegetables are generally a dietary source of nutrients, micronutrients and vitamins, thus, their increasing demand over the years. However, contamination of leafy vegetables, serving as vehicles of potential pathogens, such as *E. coli* O157: H7 or *Salmonella* spp. have frequently resulted in food disease outbreaks (Tomas-Callejas

\* Corresponding author: Kubrat A. Oyinlola, Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Koladaisi University, Ibadan, Oyo State. Nigeria. E-mail: bibimola.abiola@gmail.com

2663-2187/© 2022. Kubrat A. Oyinlola *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*et al.*, 2012). In developing countries such as Nigeria, continued use of untreated waste water and manure as fertilizers for the production of fruits and vegetables is a major contributing factor to such contamination (Amoah *et al.*, 2009). Washing, the only procedure, aimed at cleaning and subsequently eliminating microbial, chemical and pest contamination, seemed not to be effective in total eradication, even though, reduction may be evident (Van Haute *et al.*, 2013; Eni *et al.*, 2010; WHO, 2015; and Olaimat and Holley, 2012). It is also important to note that the post-harvest washing water in most developing countries are not portable and could be a vehicle for microbial cross-contamination. Wash water, supplemented with chemical oxidants (chlorine, hydrogen peroxide, etc.), was proposed, even though, it's efficacy was reported to be hindered by the presence of organic matter in the wash water (Van Haute *et al.*, 2013) and also, it's potential for the formation of byproducts such as trihalomethanes. Organic acids were alternative supplements, even though, researchers have stressed that supplementing wash water with disinfectants should be aimed at maintaining the water quality, to prevent cross contamination rather than having direct microbial effect on produce (Gil *et al.*, 2009; and Davidson *et al.*, 2013).

This study aimed at studying vinegar (acetic acid) as disinfectant, with varying concentrations and exposure times on microbial quality of lettuce.

## 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Sampling

Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) samples were purchased from vendors at Akinyele market, Akinyele Local Government, Oyo State. Nigeria. Samples were collected in sterile containers and transported to the Microbiology Laboratory, (Koladaisi University, Ibadan Nigeria) for immediate analysis. Vinegar (disinfecting agent) was also procured.

#### 2.2. Microbial load determination

Initial washing was done with sterile distilled water, to eliminate sand particles and other dirts, after which, 10 g was rinsed in tap water, different vinegar concentrations (0.5%-2.0%), and sterile distilled water. Subsequent 10-fold serial dilutions (up to 10<sup>-8</sup>) of each was carried out. 0.1 ml of 10<sup>-3</sup>, 10<sup>-5</sup> and 10<sup>-8</sup> dilutions were introduced into petri dishes and sterile molten nutrient agar (Himedia, India) was added, swirled thoroughly to allow even distribution. The colonies were counted using a colony counter (Jiangsu Kangjian, China), after 24 h incubation at 37°C.

#### 2.3. Effect of decontaminant concentration and exposure time on microbial load in lettuce

To determine the effect of different concentrations of vinegar and exposure time on lettuce samples, 50 g sample was weighed and washed in 450 ml of 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5% and 2.0% vinegar solutions Aliquot (0.1 ml) of each rinse treatment (0.5%- 2.0% vinegar) at initial time of rinsing, then after 5 and 10 min exposure time was introduced into petri dish. Molten, sterile nutrient agar (Himedia, India) was poured, plates were swirled and allowed to set. Number of colonies on each plate was counted using a colony counter (Jangsu Kangjian, China) after 24 h incubation at 37°C.

## 2.4. Isolation and identification of microorganisms

MacConkey Agar, Salmonella Shigella Agar, Potato Dextrose Agar and Nutrient Agar (Himedia, India) were inoculated with 0.1 ml diluents of each rinse treatment using the pour plate technique. The plates were allowed to solidify, inverted and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Distinct colonies were sub-cultured to achieve pure culture for further biochemical studies and identification of organisms.

Macroscopic, microscopic and biochemical characterization of selected microorganisms were conducted according to standard methods (Harrigan and McCance, 1966; Seeley and VanDemark, 1972; Olutiola *et al.*, 2000) and were identified in reference to Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (Sneath, 1986).

Colony count were converted into  $\log_{10}$  CFU/g and mean values were calculated from the microbial evaluation of the lettuce sample.



## 3. Results

Most of the culture plates had observable growths showing that the lettuce was contaminated. Figure 1, depicting the microbial enumeration (total viable count) as well as quality of lettuce, showed that lettuce sample rinsed with sterile distilled water (control experiment) had  $3.8 \times 10^6$  CFU/g while those rinsed with unsterile tap water had  $4.0 \times 10^6$  CFU/g. Sample rinsed with the disinfectant showed the most reduced viable counts, ranging from  $1.0 \times 10^5$  CFU/g to  $2.7 \times 10^6$  CFU/g.

The effect of different vinegar concentrations and exposure times on the microbial load of lettuce sample was indicated in Table 1. At the initial time (0 min), a gradual reduction in microbial load was observed from  $2.9 \times 10^7$  CFU/g, when rinsed with 0.5% vinegar concentration to  $1.0 \times 10^6$  CFU/g of 2.0% vinegar concentration. After 5 min exposure, microbial load reduced from  $1.9 \times 10^7$  CFU/g at 1.0% concentration to  $3.0 \times 10^5$  CFU/g at 2.0% vinegar concentration. Exposure of lettuce samples to 2.0% vinegar concentration for 10 min, showed no observable microbial growth.

Table 1: Effect of concentration and exposure time of vinegar on lettuce sample											
Vinegar concentration (%)	Mean microbial load (CFU/g)/Exposure time (min)										
	0	5	10								
0.5	2.9 × 10 <sup>7</sup>	8.0 × 10 <sup>5</sup>	2.7 × 10 <sup>5</sup>								
1.0	1.6 × 16 <sup>7</sup>	1.9 × 10 <sup>7</sup>	8.4 × 10 <sup>6</sup>								
1.5	1.5 × 10 <sup>7</sup>	2.8 × 10 <sup>6</sup>	3.4 × 10 <sup>6</sup>								
2.0	1.0 × 10 <sup>6</sup>	3.0 × 10 <sup>5</sup>	No growth								

However, comparing the different vinegar concentrations, it was observed that 2.0% concentration had the most reduced number of microorganisms, irrespective of the exposure times.

Results of the biochemical characterization and identification of selected isolate, as shown in Tables 2 and 3, indicated the presence of six different probable bacteria species, from the thirty-nine selected isolates. They were *Bacillus* sp, *Staphylococcus* sp, *E. coli*, *Salmonella* sp., *Listeria* sp. *and Klebsiella* sp.

Table 2: Morphological characteristics of selected bacterial isolates										
Isolates	Color	Margin	Opacity	Texture	Shape	Size	Elevation			
LV1	Amber	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Medium	Flat			
LV2	Yellow	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Medium	Flat			
LV3	Cream	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Small	Flat			
LV4	Cream	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Medium	Flat			
LV5	Cream	Undulate	Opaque	Dry	Irregular	Medium	Flat			
LV6	Yellow	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Small	Flat			
LV7	Cream	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Small	Flat			
LV8	Cream	Entire	Opaque	Dry	Circular	Small	Flat			
LV9	Yellow	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Small	Flat			
LV10	Cream	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Small	Flat			
LV11	Cream	Undulate	Opaque	Dry	Irregular	Large	Flat			
LV12	White	Filamentous	Translucent	Dry	Filamentous	Medium	Flat			
LV13	Cream	Undulate	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Medium	Flat			
LV14	Yellow	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Small	Flat			
LV15	Cream	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Small	Flat			
LV16	Yellow	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Small	Flat			
LV17	Cream	Undulate	Opaque	Shiny	Irregular	Large	Flat			
LV18	Cream	Undulate	Opaque	Dry	Irregular	Large	Flat			
LV19	Cream	Undulate	Transparent	Dry	Irregular	Small	Flat			
LV20	White	Filamentous	Translucent	Dry	Irregular	Medium	Flat			
LV21	Cream	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Medium	Flat			
LV22	Cream	Entire	Opaque	Dry	Punctiform	Small	Flat			
LV23	Yellow	Undulate	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Medium	Flat			
LV24	Cream	Entire	Opaque	Dry	Punctiform	Small	Flat			
LV25	Blue	Entire	Opaque	Dry	Circular	Small	Flat			
LV26	Cream	Entire	Opaque	Dry	Circular	Medium	Flat			
LTW1	Cream	Undulate	Opaque	Shiny	Irregular	Large	Flat			
LTW1	Cream	Undulate	Opaque	Shiny	Irregular	Large	Flat			
LTW2	Cream	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Small	Flat			
LTW3	Cream	Undulate	Opaque	Shiny	Irregular	Medium	Flat			
LTW4	Cream	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Small	Flat			

Γ

Table 2 (Co	ont.)						
Isolates	Color	Margin	Opacity	Texture	Shape	Size	Elevation
LTW5	Yellow	Erose (Serrated)	Opaque	Shiny	Irregular	Large	Flat
LSDW1	Cream	Undulate	Opaque	Shiny	Irregular	Large	Flat
LSDW2	Cream	Filamentous	Translucent	Dry	Filamentous	Medium	Flat
LSDW3	Cream	Entire	Opaque Shiny		Circular	Medium	Flat
LSDW4	Yellow	Undulate	Opaque	Shiny	Irregular	Large	Flat
LSDW5	Yellow	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Small	Flat
LSDW6	Cream	Entire	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Medium	Flat
LSDW7	Cream	Undulate	Opaque	Shiny	Circular	Large	Flat

Isolates	Gram staining	Shape	Catalase	MR	₽	Indole	Oxidase	Motility	Urease	Citrate	Suc	Gala	Fru	Glu	Lac	Arab	Star	Probable organisms
LTW1	-	Rods	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Staphylococcus aureus
LTW2	+	Rods	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Bacillus cereus
LTW3	+	Rods	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Bacillus cereus
LTW4	-	Rods	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Staphylococcus aureus
LTW5	+	Rods	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Staphylococcus aurus
LTW6	+	Rods	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Staphylococcus aureus
LTW7	+	Rods	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Listeria sp
LTW8	+	Rods	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Listeria sp
LTW9	+	Rods	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	E.coli
LTW11	+	Rods	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	E.coli
LTW13	+	Rods	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Bacillus cereus
LTW14	-	Rods	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Staphylococcus aureus
LTW15	+	Rods	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Listeria sp
LTW16	+	Rods	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Listeria sp
LTW17	+	Rods	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	E.coli
LTW18	-	Rods	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	E.coli
LTW21	+	Rods	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Staphylococus aureus
LTW26	+	Rods	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Klebsialla sp
LSDW4	-	Rods	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Klebsiella sp
LSDW7	-	Rods	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Salmonella sp
LV23	+	Rods	+	+	-	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Salmonella sp.

## 4. Discussion

The use of disinfectants in cleaning fruits and vegetables is one of the most important ways of preventing the transmission of diseases. Chlorine and its compounds are the most common types of disinfectants, nevertheless, there is a potential for the formation of byproducts such as trihalomethanes, thus, the use of alternative compounds.

From the results of this study, it was observed that the samples rinsed with vinegar had the least microbial count as against those with the tap and sterile distilled water. This further elaborate the use of vinegar as a better rinse agent than water (Atter *et al.*, 2014). Rinse with tap water, showing highest count indicated that the tap water was not sterile enough for this purpose, thereby possibly adding to the microbial floral of the lettuce, since a reduction in count was observed in the batch rinsed with sterile distilled water (control experiment). These results confirmed previous reports of microbial load reduction observed in vegetables washed \rinsed with disinfectants (Amoah *et al.*, 2009; Eni *et al.*, 2010; and De oliveira *et al.*, 2012).

The presence of microorganisms in vegetables are a direct reflection of sanitary quality of cultivation, water, harvesting, transportation, storage and processing (Ray and Bhunia, 2007). Most of the organisms identified in this study have been isolated from different vegetables as reported in different studies (Uzeh *et al*, 2009; and Eni *et al.*, 2010). Microbial count act as an indicator for food quality and shelf life (Pianetti *et al.*, 2008) but many not necessarily relate to poisoning/infections. The high microbial count, estimated to as high as 10<sup>6</sup> CFU\g may be a reflection of unhygienic practices from farm-to-fork. Similar values ranging between 10<sup>5</sup> to 10<sup>7</sup>CFU/ml was reported by Eni *et al.* (2010) during the isolation of microbes from fruits and vegetables. Numerous other research studies reported high microbial content in vegetable (Abdullahi and Abdulkareem, 2010; Itohan *et al.*, 2011; and Atter *et al.*, 2014). However, according to HACCP-TQM technical guideline, raw foods containing less than 10<sup>4</sup> CFU/g, are regarded as "good", between 10<sup>4</sup> and 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/g as "average", 10<sup>6</sup> and 10<sup>7</sup> CFU/g as "poor" and any, containing greater than 10<sup>7</sup> CFU/g as "spoilt" (Anonymous, 1998). The values thus reported, makes the lettuce samples to be termed as being average and poor.

Increase in concentration and exposure time was found to be relevant in the role vinegar played as disinfectant to reduce microbial load. The current study revealed the efficacy of such, to reduce microbial load and it also showed a progressive decrease in microbial load with increasing vinegar concentration and exposure time. The result was in accordance with earlier reports (Eni *et al.*, 2010; and Hashemi *et al.*, 2017) that attributed such to reduction in pH which is unfavorable to most bacteria as well as prolonged continuous exposure. As such, vinegar could potentially be the simple, inexpensive disinfectant for most ready- to- eat vegetables, even though changes in taste had been reported (Eni *et al.*, 2010) with such vegetables, this can be overcome by subsequent rinse in water. No spoilage sign was noticed on the lettuce in this study considering the reported microbial load, insinuating that outward appearance cannot be good criteria for analyzing microbial quality.

Probable organisms identified include *Bacillus* sp, *Staphylococcus* sp, *E. coli*, *Salmonella* sp. *and Klebsiella* sp., all of which were also reported to be isolated from fruits and vegetables (Eni et al., 2010). Ajayi et al. (2017) reported the presence of *Pseudomonas* sp, *Proteus* sp, *Enterobacter* sp, *Microroreus* sp, alongside the reported organism in this study.

*Pseudomonas and Bacillus* spp are part of plant microflora and are among the most common vegetable spoilage organisms (Vanderzant and Splittstoesser, 1992). *Staphylococcus, E. coli, Pseudomonas and Proteus* are also pathogenic and opportunistic bacteria of health concern. This further highlights the need to safeguard the health of the consumers by proper washing and decontamination of vegetables which are consumed without heat treatment.

## 5. Conclusion

This study showed that lettuce samples analyzed were heavily contaminated with microorganisms, but decontamination with an antimicrobial agent reduced the microbial load. Vinegar, at 2% concentration and for 10 min exposure, was more effective at eliminating microbes as against rinsing with tap water, which reflected an increase in microbial load, thus, suggesting that the water was actually not portable. It should also be noted that vegetables may look good and healthy, but still harbor microorganisms, as such, good sanitary measures should be adopted when handling ready-to-eat fruits and vegetables.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Abdullahi, I.O. and Abdulkareem, S. (2010). Bacteriological quality of some ready to eat vegetable as retailed and consumed in Sabon gari, Zaria, Nigeria. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 3, 173-175.
- Ajayi, O.A., Amokeodo, M.I. and Akinwunmi, O.O. (2017). Microbial quality of selected ready-to-eat vegetables from Iwo, Nigeria and effectiveness of rinsing agents. *Applied Tropical Agriculture*, 22, 131-137.
- Amoah, P., Drechsel, P., Abaidoo, R.C. and Abraham, E.M. (2009). Improving food hygiene in Africa where vegetables are irrigated with polluted water. *Regional Sanitation and Hygiene Symposium*.
- Anonymous (1998). HACCP-TQM technical guidelines. Section IV contamination levels and microbiological control. available at: http://www.hi-tm.com/PDG/Tech-Sect-4.html
- Atter, A. Amewowor, D. and Amoa-Awua, W.K. (2014). The effectiveness of water, salt and vinegar in reducing the bacteria population in fresh green cabbage. *Food Science and Quality Management*, 28, 29-34.
- Davidson, G.R. Buchholz, A.L. and Ryser, E.T. (2013). Efficacy of commercial produce sanitizers against nontoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 during processing of iceberg lettuce in a pilot-scale leafy green processing line. *J. Food Prot.*, 76, 1838-1845.
- De Oliveira, A.B.A., Ritter, A.C., Tondo, E.C. and Marisa, I.C. (2012). Comparison of different washing and disinfection protocols used by food services in Southern Brazil for lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*). Food and Nutrition Sciences, 3, 28-33.
- Eni, A.E., Oluwawemitan, A.I. and Solomon, U.O. (2010). Microbial quality of fruits and vegetables sold in Sango Ota, Nigeria'. *African Journal of Food Science*, 4, 291-296.
- Gil, M.I., Selma, M.V., Lopez-Galvez, F. and Allende, A. (2009). Fresh-cut product sanitation and wash water disinfection: problems and solutions. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 134(1-2), 37-45.
- Harrigan, W.F and McCance, M.E.M. (1966). *Laboratory methods in microbiology*, Academic Press London: New York.
- Hashemi, H. (2017). Comparison of the effect of Perchlorine, Sodium hypochlorite, and electrochemical method on disinfection of vegetables. *J Environ Health Sustain Dev.* 2(3), 326-332.
- Itohan, A. M Peters, O. and Kolo, I. (2011). Bacterial contaminants of salad vegetables in Abuja municipal area council, Nigeria. *Malaysian Journal of Microbiology*, 7(2), 111-114.
- Olaimat, A.N. and Holley, R.A. (2012). Factors influencing the microbial safety of fresh produce: A review. *Food Microbiol.*, 32, 1-19.
- Olutiola, P.O., Famurewa, O. and Sonntag, H.G. (2000). *An Introduction to General Microbiology: A Practical Approach*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. pp. 157-175. Bolabay Publications, Nigeria.
- Pianetti, A., Sabatini, L., Citterio, B., Pierfelici, L., Ninfali, P. and Bruscolini, F. (2008). Changes in microbial populations in ready-to-eat vegetable salads during shelf life. *Italian Journal of Food Science*, 20, 245-254.
- Ray, B. and Bhunia, A.K. (2007). Fundamental Food Microbiology. 4th Edition. CRC Press, USA.
- Seeley, H.W. and VanDemark, P.J. (1972). *Microbes in Action*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Freeman and Co San Franciso USA.
- Sneath, P.H.A. (1986). Bergeys's Manual of Systematic Bacterilogy, Volume 2. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore.
- Tomas-Callejas, A., Lopez-Galvez, F., Sbodio, A., Artes, F., Artes-Hernandez, F. and Suslow, T.V. (2012). Chlorine dioxide and chlorine effectiveness to prevent *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* cross-contamination on fresh-cut Red Chard. *Food Control*, 23(2), 325-332.
- Uzeh R.E., Alade F.A. and Bankole M (2009). The microbial quality of prepacked mixed vegetable salad in some retail outlets in Lagos, Nigeria. *Afr. J. Food Sci.*, 3(9), 270-272.
- Van Haute, S., Sampers, I., Holvoet, K. and Uyttendaele, M. (2013). Physicochemical quality and chemical safety of chlorine as a reconditioning agent and wash water disinfectant for fresh-cut lettuce washing, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 79, 2850-2861.

- Vanderzant, C. and Splittstoesser, D.F. (1992). Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods. *American Public Health Association*, Washington D.C., Chs 44-61.
- World Health Organization (2015). Surface decontamination of fruits and vegetables eaten raw: A review. Available online: http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/64435

**Cite this article as:** Kubrat A. Oyinlola, Gbemisola E. Ogunleye, Augustina I. Balogun and K.I. Ayo-Abimbola (2022). Efficacy of vinegar as a disinfectant on the microbial quality of *Lactuca sativa* L. *African Journal of Biological Sciences.* 4(3), 116-123. doi: 10.33472/AFJBS.4.3.2022.116-123.