https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.2.2024.3013-3024

AFJBS African Journal of Biological Sciences
Journal homepage: http://www.afjbs.com

Research Paper Open Access

Brief Overview about Regional Anesthesia Techniques for Pain Management for Laparoscopic Surgery

Nehad Abd El-Aziz Abd El-Salam, Rehab Abd-Allah

Department Anesthesia, Intensive care and pain management, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt.
Corresponding author: Nehad Abd El-Aziz Abd El-Salam
Email: dr.nehadarab@gmail.com, NAAbdelaziz@medicine.zu.edu.eg, drnehad@zu.edu.eg

Article History

Volume 6, Issue 2, Apr-Aug 2024

Received: 5 August 2024

Accepted: 15 August 2024

Published: 15 August 2024

doi:10.48047/AFJBS.6.2.2024.3013-3024

Abstract: Background: The field of regional anesthesia has evolved tremendously in the last 15 years. New anesthesia protocols for ambulatory surgery and enhanced recovery after surgery have been developed as well. The focus of these techniques and protocols has centered on patient satisfaction and pain control while minimizing the use of opioids. The field of ambulatory surgery and anesthesia continues to evolve, and regional anesthesia and its plane techniques are at the center of these changes. Recent research has shown that regional techniques contribute to better pain control and patient experience and may decrease patient readmission rates. The safety of these techniques has been validated when performed by experienced practitioners. New techniques such as the erector spinae block (ESP) have been studied in the setting of laparoscopic surgery with promising results. Regional anesthesia techniques for patients presenting for laparoscopic surgery are safe and seem to provide benefits. Those are related to patient experience, pain control, and readmission rates. Different techniques can be applied to a specific type of intervention. Application of these techniques depend on the clinical picture and patient. Future research may help us clarify how these techniques may improve patient satisfaction and operating room efficiency. New regional blocks may also develop based on what we know today.

Keywords: *Regional Anesthesia Techniques, Laparoscopic Surgery*

Introduction

Hospitalization following laparoscopic surgery is most commonly caused by pain, second only to post-operative nausea and vomiting [1]. Laparoscopic surgery pain has multiple sources, including the abdominal region, the site of the incision, and any referred pain. Mechanical traction, dilatation, spasm, inflammation, ischemia, and chemical stimulation are some of the many potential causes of visceral pain [2]. When it comes to laparoscopic surgery, peripheral regional anesthetic methods are more suited to dealing with incisional pain than visceral pain. Patients experiencing moderate to severe acute visceral pain, such as those suffering from cholecystitis or recovering from more invasive laparoscopic procedures like bowel resection, may find that neuraxial regional anesthesia techniques are more effective than peripheral ones. New regional methods have been created to alleviate visceral discomfort without the risks of neuraxial procedures, which include infection, spinal cord injury, and bleeding. Visceral and incisional pain can be alleviated with these peripheral block techniques that are "more midline," such as the erector spinae plane and quadratus lumborum blocks.

Regional approaches have recently come to the forefront due to the introduction of robotic procedures and enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols for laparoscopic surgery. In order to reduce the need for opioids after surgery and the unpleasant side effects they cause, such as nausea and impaired bowel motility, doctors are looking for new ways to treat these issues. These side effects make patients less satisfied with their care, lengthen their hospital stays, and increase healthcare expenses [4].

There has been a dramatic evolution in ambulatory surgery throughout the past 25 years [1]. Even procedures that used to necessitate a stay in the hospital are increasingly being done in an outpatient environment, leading to a steady rise in the number of ambulatory surgeries. Among cholecystectomies, for instance, ambulatory surgery centers now account for 60% [5]. This trend might be accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, but it is anticipated that this number will rise in the years to come. As a matter of fact, ambulatory appendectomy has been proposed as a potential new gold standard by certain writers [6]. New anesthetic medications and regional anesthesia procedures, in addition to innovative surgical approaches, have enabled these trends. In many cases, the most significant challenge of ambulatory surgery is dealing with nausea, vomiting, and pain. Consequently, it may be possible to conduct surgery in an ambulatory surgical center setting with the use of regional anesthetic methods and non-opioid pain drugs to provide better pain control with fewer unwanted side effects than with opioid analgesics [1].

Laparoscopic Surgery Techniques

When thinking about regional anesthetic for laparoscopic surgery, it's vital to keep in mind the ever-evolving state of the art in surgical procedures. Triangulation, the foundational principle of traditional laparoscopic surgery, improves organ exposure and surgical ergonomics. Clinicians and patients alike have reaped its benefits during the past two decades. On the other hand, anesthetics specifically designed for laparoscopic surgery are in high demand due to recent developments in outpatient surgery. A number of routine procedures, including appendectomy and cholecystectomy, have lately made use of single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS) [7]. Two centimeters are sliced into the umbilicus at the level of the intercostal nerves T7 and T11 in SILC [8]. More evidence is likely to be needed before this emerging technology is widely used. The advantages, however, include less discomfort, less bleeding, less chance of infection at the surgical site, less visceral injury, and less herniation at the port site. If SILS becomes widely used, anesthetic procedures will need to be further modified to accommodate the anticipated reduction in discomfort associated with these treatments.

Pain following Laparoscopic Surgery

Many people believe that laparoscopic surgery has many benefits over open procedures. For example, the smaller incisions mean less postoperative pain and opioid needs. Less pulmonary function impairment from splinting. Fewer cases of ileus and respiratory depression. Less chance of prolonged wound healing and wound dehiscence. Laparoscopic surgery does not eliminate the possibility of postoperative pain, though. The viscera, peritoneal lining, abdominal musculature, and skin can all be injured, which can lead to severe pain. If one wants to have a good grasp of the pain that comes with laparoscopic surgery, they need to study the intricate peritoneal anatomy. Different embryologic sources give rise to different types of innervation in the parietal and visceral peritoneal linings, respectively. Dermatomes that originate in the somatopleural layer innervate the parietal peritoneum [9]. On the other hand, the visceral organ-supplying autonomic nerves innervate the visceral peritoneum, which originates from the splanchnopleural layer. Distinct pain perceptions are a result of the parietal and visceral peritoneal layers' different embryologic origins.

In addition to sending an initial noxious signal to the CNS, painful surgical stimuli can also trigger a condition of hypersensitivity to pain by way of positive feedback cell signaling loops in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. This self-amplifying cell signaling cascade is the likely cause of postoperative pain that persists far beyond the initial unpleasant stimulation in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery. Because of these alterations in the CNS, it is reasonable to seek out ways to treat pain before an inciting event occurs, ideally before surgery. Local anesthetics given before surgery have the potential to reduce or eliminate these central nervous system hyperalgesic effects. In fact, research shows that nerve blocks given before surgery produce better analgesia in the early postoperative period than the identical blocks given just after surgery [10].

While there is less damage to the skin and muscles of the abdominal wall during laparoscopic surgery, there is still a good chance that you will need to take a lot of painkillers to get through the peritoneal stretching, burning, muscular spasm, inflammation, ischemia, and chemical stimulation. As a matter of fact, compared to open laparotomy, the initial pain and opioid needs after laparoscopic surgery may be higher in the first twenty-four hours [11]. After this first period of recovery from surgery, however, the relationship turns around, and patients who have laparotomy report more discomfort. A multimodal approach to analgesia is necessary following laparoscopic surgery due to the wide variety of pain mechanisms that might be induced [12]. Additionally, due to the increased intensity of the initial pain following laparoscopic surgery, it appears that a long-acting local anesthetic (such as bupivacaine) administered as a single injection into the patient's peripheral nerves may help reduce the intense pain experienced by patients following laparoscopic surgery, allowing them to go home the same day.

Anatomy of the Abdominal Wall

Anesthesiologists practicing regional anesthesia must have a thorough understanding of abdominal wall anatomy in order to administer analgesia after laparoscopic surgery [13, 14]. The abdominal wall is anatomically composed of numerous layers of muscle, with separate fascia surrounding each layer [15, 16]. The abdominal wall is composed of several muscles: Reinforcing the back of the wall are the quadratus lumborum, psoas major, and iliacus muscles, which are located laterally to the spinal column. The iliacus and psoas major, which are powerful hip flexors, insert into the thigh at their caudal extremities.A total of four pairs of muscles make up the front and side of the abdominal wall [13]: the transversus abdominis, internal oblique, and external oblique muscles, which run from deep to superficial, and the anterior rectus abdominis muscles. When you look at the side of your body, you'll see that the transversus abdominis, internal oblique, and external oblique all have their bellies lying on top of each other. The aponeurotic linea semilunaris is close laterally to the rectus abdominis muscle where these three muscles insert medially. The various variations of the transverse abdominis plane (TAP) blocks are mostly based on the shape and placement of the muscle bellies and the linea semilunaris, which assist distinguish the layers. In its anterior position, the rectus abdominis muscle crosses the space between the pelvis and the thoracic wall. The abdominal wall's posterior and lateral sections are structurally connected through thick fascia and flat tendinous sheets (aponeuroses) that originate from the lateral wall's muscles, respectively. The abdominal wall and the lining of the abdominal cavity, the peritoneum, are separated by a fascial layer of variable thickness.

Several cadaveric investigations on peripheral regional anesthetics have focused on the thoracolumbar fascia, which has been postulated as the site where local anesthetics injected into certain "plane" blocks diffuse into the paravertebral area [15]. Constructed of three layers of fascia, it encircles the quadratus lumborum and erector spinae muscles. In addition to being the first aponeurosis of the latissimus dorsi, the anterior layer of this fascia is situated behind the erector spinae. Layer one divides the quadratus lumborum muscle from layer two, which includes the erector spinae. The anterior layer covers the quadratus lumborum's anterior border, while the middle and anterior layers meet near the lateral border of the erector spinae. At the lateral border of the quadratus lumborum, the three layers of fascia come together to produce the initial aponeurosis of the transversus abdominis and internal abdominal oblique muscles. Some researchers think that the thoracolumbar fascia distributes sympathetic fibers and lateral branches of the posterior branch of the L1–L3 spinal nerve, as well as local anesthetics, to the paravertebral area [17].

The thoracolumbar spinal nerve roots' anterior divisions are the source of the innervation of the abdominal wall [13]. The intercostal nerves originate from the spinal roots of vertebrae T6–T11, while the subcostal nerves from vertebrae T12–T13. They are the iliohypogastric and ilioinguinal nerves, which originate from the

L1 spinal nerve root. Slightly below the xyphoid lies the T6 dermatome. Along the costal margin, the T7 and T8 nerves go in the direction of the xyphoid. The branches of the anterior intercostal nerve that originate from T6 to T8 begin beneath the rectus muscle, travel varying distances between the posterior rectus sheath and the transversus abdominis muscle in the TAP, and finally penetrate the rectus sheath anteriorly. They go through the rectus sheath and enter the rectus muscle after a further course. In certain cases, however, the rectus muscle might get direct innervation from the T6–T8 nerves close to the costal border. In this specific anatomical variation, these nerves may go unnoticed if a block is positioned between the rectus abdominis muscle and the posterior rectus sheath at the midline [17, 18]. A lateral branch of each segmental nerve travels a short distance after leaving the main nerve, which is located behind the rib angle. Subsequently, the lateral branch makes an oblique appearance through the surrounding muscles around the midaxillary line. Although the lateral branches of the transections T11 and T12 may take a brief path through or within the transectional area of the spinal cord (TAP), they originate prior to the nerve entering the TAP. In contrast to the thoracic nerves, the ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerves follow an entirely distinct path. Prior to reaching the middle one-third of the iliac crest, which is measured from the anterior superior iliac spine to the posterior superior iliac spine, these neurons are typically located in the TAP. They continue to run deep to the transversus abdominis muscle. Local anesthetic absorption is routinely achieved with TAP and other abdominal plane blocks, similar to that of infraclavicular and axillary brachial plexus blocks, because of the rich vascular supply to the abdomen wall. On average, it takes 25 minutes for ropivacaine to reach its peak plasma level when used in these procedures. With ropivacaine reaching peak plasma levels in less than 20 minutes, this is much slower compared to epidural and interscalene blocks [13].

Regional Techniques

There are two main types of regional anesthetics used for abdominal and pelvic surgeries: neuraxial blocks and peripheral blocks. Paravertebral blocks with local anesthetic and intrathecal or epidural injection of opioids are examples of neurovascular blocks. While abdominal regional anesthetic has successfully targeted specific nerves, peripheral blocks for pelvic and abdominal analgesia are often "plane blocks." Unlike traditional peripheral nerve blocks, which involve injecting local anesthetic surrounding the target nerve, plane blocks use a large amount of diluted local anesthetic to penetrate a plane that the nerves in question travel across.

Surgical anesthesia and intense postoperative pain relief are both made possible by neuraxial blocks. When injected intrathecally, ropivacaine and bupivacaine have a short duration of action (minutes vs. hours) compared to when administered perineurally (hours) [19, 20]. Therefore, in order to provide postoperative analgesia, local anesthetics delivered via the epidural or intrathecal spaces must be continuously infused by an indwelling catheter. There are a lot of drawbacks to epidural analgesia, even if thoracic epidural infusions give great analgesia after laparoscopic surgery [21, 22]. One major drawback is that this method cannot be used for outpatient procedures because it requires inpatient admission and supervision. Severe hypotension, epidural abscess, and hematoma leading to spinal cord compression are additional dangers linked with epidural analgesia. Because of these considerations, thoracic epidural analgesia is best used for laparoscopic procedures (such laparoscopic colorectal resections) that require hospitalization. In large-scale laparoscopic colorectal surgeries, thoracic epidural analgesia has the potential to lessen postoperative pain and opiate use, speed up gastrointestinal healing, and improve oral intake tolerance [22, 23].

Opioids delivered intrathecally or epidurally can have a localized impact on the spinal cord because of the opioid receptors found there [24]. This limits the harmful consequences of systemic opioid dosing while producing intense analgesia. Opioid receptors, on the other hand, are absent from the peripheral nervous system. However, there have been conflicting findings in trials that have compared peripheral nerve blocks based on local anesthetics with opioid additions [25]. Opioid addition to peripheral nerve blocks has been deemed beneficial by some writers, but by others it has been found to have no effect beyond that of systemic treatment [26,27,28]. When compared to shorter acting, more lipophilic opioids like fentanyl, morphine's sustained action makes it the preferred opioid for intrathecal delivery. A decrease in pain and the requirement for systemic opioid therapy following laparoscopic surgery can be achieved by intrathecal administration of morphine, either alone or in combination with local anesthetic [29, 30]. Respiratory depression is a dose-dependent effect of intrathecally given opioids, just as it is with systemic administration. Nausea, vomiting, and pruritus are some of the other opioid-related adverse effects that may occur with intrathecal morphine [31]. When morphine is injected intrathecally, it causes respiratory depression in two distinct phases: the first, around 2 hours after administration, and the second, typically 6-12 hours but sometimes up to 24 hours later. Rostral diffusion of hydrophilic morphine molecules in cerebrospinal fluid to the respiratory drive regions of the brain causes the late phase of respiratory depression [32]. Therefore, it is necessary to keep an eye on patients for about 24 hours after surgery. This means that mobile surgeries cannot use intrathecal morphine, but it is a great analgesic choice for major surgical procedures that need hospital stay. Intrathecal morphine, when combined with other ERAS modalities, may also allow for early discharge after major laparoscopic procedures [29].

Both physically and conceptually, paravertebral blocks connect neuraxial and peripheral regional anesthesia. In order to restrict the local anesthetic from reaching the spinal nerve roots, these blocks are positioned laterally to the epidural region. The length of action of paravertebral blocks may be well-matched to the pain following many laparoscopic operations, in contrast to local anesthetic injections in the intrathecal or epidural areas. Pain ratings and opioid intake in the first 24 hours after laparoscopic cholecystectomy can be reduced by thoracic paravertebral blocks, whether guided by ultrasonography or not [10, 33, 34]. Despite the fact that each injection typically only spreads 1-2 dermatome levels, paravertebral blocks may necessitate numerous bilateral injections [35]. The risk of pneumothorax is around 0.3-0.5% for each of these injections [36, 37]. Due to the anesthetization of the sympathetic innervation to the heart and splanchnic vasculature, thoracic paravertebral blocks are also linked to profound sympathectomy. Therefore, further peripheral regional anesthetic methods with less risks and side effects, suitable for usage in an ambulatory context, are urgently required.

To facilitate both regional anesthesia education as well as research in this developing field, agreement and uniformity in the nomenclature and terms of different peripheral regional blocks is of great importance. Recent expert consensus have been published with the intention of unifying concepts and definitions [14]. Of particular importance in studying these emerging techniques, research publications have often differed in their technique descriptions when referring to the same block by name. The following terms are now generally accepted for the most common abdominal wall plane and peripheral nerve blocks of the abdomen:

- Rectus sheath block (RSB): Injection in the plane between the rectus abdominis muscle and posterior rectus sheath
- Ilioinguinal iliohypogastric nerves block: Injection in proximity to the ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerves, located within the plane between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles in the lower quadrants of the anterior abdominal wall.
- Transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block: Injection in the plane between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles
- Midaxillary transversus abdominis plane block: Injection in the plane between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles at the midaxillary line
- Subcostal transversus abdominis plane block: Injection in the plane between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles along the medial costal margin in the upper quadrants of the anterior abdominal wall [13], also referred by some as oblique subcostal transversus abdominis block (OSTAP)
- Anterior quadratus lumborum block (Anterior QLB): Injection in the plane between quadratus lumborum and psoas major muscles. This technique was previously referred to as the transmuscular quadratus lumborum (transmuscular QL) block as the block needle must pass through the muscle to inject on the anterior surface.

- Lateral quadratus lumborum block (Lateral QLB): Injection in the plane between the aponeuroses of internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles at the lateral border of the quadratus lumborum muscle. This technique was previously referred to as the Quadratus Lumborum Type 1 (QL 1) block.
- Posterior quadratus lumborum block (Posterior QLB): Injection in the plane between the quadratus lumborum and erector spinae muscles, on the posterior surface of quadratus lumborum muscle. This technique was previously referred to as the quadratus lumborum type 2 (QL 2) block, denoting its position as the second QL block to be described in the literature.

Medications

When administering localized anesthesia, local anesthetics are the main injectable medicines used. Epinephrine, clonidine, dexmedetomidine, tramadol, buprenorphine, and dexamethasone are among the adjuvants that have been described. One possible effect of these adjuvants is to make the induced block last longer or denser. A number of adjuvants used in peripheral nerve blocks are not permitted for perineural injection by the US Food and Drug Administration [38, 39]. It is not necessary to provide opioids systemically since, as mentioned earlier, opioid receptors in the spinal cord enable intrathecal and epidurally injected morphine and fentanyl to produce a local impact. Some studies have shown an advantage to perineural administration of opioids in peripheral nerve blocks, while others have found no effect at all. The results of these comparisons have been equivocal.

For peripheral and neuraxial blocks, the most studied and used local anesthetics are lidocaine, ropivacaine, and bupivacaine. Their pharmacodynamics, pharmacokinetics, and availability all play a role in this. The volume of diluted local anesthetic used for abdominal plane blocks is bigger than that used for traditional peripheral nerve blocks or neuraxial procedures. This is because the local anesthetic solution must "hydro-dissect" the intended plane in order to numb the nerves that pass through it.

We have determined the best dosages of local anesthetics for each blocks [2]. The optimal dosage of ropivacaine plus butorphanol for pain-free ultrasound-guided RSB in single-incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy (SILC) was determined by Fu et al. to be 50% (ED50) and 95% (ED95) of participants, respectively. Half of the patients who undergo SILC have effective RSB under ultrasound guidance when administered a dose of 0.719 mg/kg of ropivacaine as part of a multimodal analgesic strategy, according to their findings. In 95% of cases, a dosage of 0.967 mg/kg would be effective. Nevertheless, the ideal dosage of local anesthetics for the majority of peripheral plane and nerve blocks remains unknown.

Appropriate Time for the Regional Anesthesia

To maximize the analgesic impact, it is crucial to precisely time the nerve block, since peripheral blocks typically only require a single injection rather than continuous infusion through an indwelling catheter (discussed further below). The possible impact of "preemptive anesthesia and analgesia" on the pharmacokinetics of the administered local anesthetics has been the focus of study on block timing [40]. Efficient use of the operating room is also important, therefore it's necessary to balance the time required for block performance with the benefits of regional anesthetics, such as faster recovery and happier patients. Injecting a local anesthetic at the trocar site or doing TAP blocks while viewed through a laparoscope are two examples of the creative solutions that surgeons have developed [41].

Continuous Infusion Blocks

A single injection of local anesthetic, with or without additives, is used to execute nerve blocks, and the length of the procedure is measured in hours. Although the pain from laparoscopic surgery is usually at its worst just after the procedure, it can last for days thereafter [11]. In order to perform a continuous peripheral nerve block (CPNB), a catheter is placed, typically with the help of ultrasonography, either into the plane intended for an abdominal plane block or next to a peripheral nerve [42, 43]. A peripheral block that lasts days instead of hours can be achieved by infusing local anesthetic through this catheter over the course of many days. Continuous infusions, rather than single injection blocks, may be preferable for patients who are at risk for protracted pain, such as those who are chronic opioid users, are undergoing major procedures, or have surgical drains left in place. It is possible that the local anesthetic may be more evenly distributed with relatively big automated boluses for abdominal plane blocks compared to the more conventional method of continuous infusions [44, 45].

Outcomes

In the end, what matters most is how regional anesthetics affect patient outcomes. Pain at rest and with movement, speed to discharge, opioid use in the first 48 hours following surgery, patient satisfaction, incidence of nausea and vomiting, and patient readmission are common outcomes in the regional anesthetic literature.. [44, 45].

Transversus Abdominis Plane Block

In a study including 180 patients, Wu et al. evaluated the effectiveness of several pain management techniques following laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) [46]. These techniques included an ultrasound-guided TAP block and rectus sheath block combination, an ultrasound-guided posterior TAP block in conjunction with local anesthetic infiltration (LAI), and LAI alone. The Global Satisfaction Score (GSS) was used to evaluate the efficacy of analgesia within 48 hours. Patients having laparoscopic cholecystectomy reported much less discomfort following the procedure after receiving peripheral nerve blocks of the abdominal wall guided by ultrasonography. On the other hand, the study found that patients who had LAI were more satisfied than those who received alternative techniques. In contrast to pain regimens without regional anesthesia, a 2018 meta-analysis and a 2020 systematic review and meta-analysis on TAP blocks for laparoscopic rectal surgery [8, 47] demonstrated that TAP blocks can reduce opioid consumption within the first 24 hours and lead to a lower pain score at rest within the first 6 hours. There is some evidence that TAP blocks may shorten the time it takes for patients to have their first bowel movement, but there is no proof that they will lower the frequency of nausea and vomiting or shorten the duration of their hospital stay (LOS). Time to discharge (LOS) is difficult to quantify because of the many internal factors that influence it, such as surgeon choice, societal concerns, and the possibility of more rehabilitation being necessary. Again, the medical record and local customs dictate the precise meaning of phrases like "nausea" and "vomiting" [48].

Patients having varying degrees of laparoscopic gynecological surgery were evaluated by Selcuk et al. [49] to determine the impact of preemptive and pre-closure analgesia on the intensity of postoperative pain. Regardless of the depth of visceral peritoneal dissection, duration of operation, or amount of manipulation, he discovered that the pre-closure analgesia reduced postoperative pain intensity for the course of its half-life in both surgical levels. His findings suggest that the parietal component is primarily responsible for postoperative discomfort following laparoscopic gynecological surgery.

After laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC), oblique subcostal TAP block (OSTAP)[50,51] was evaluated versus intravenous multimodal analgesia and mid-axillary TAP block. When compared to the other two therapies, OSTAP block significantly improved postoperative respiratory function and decreased pain scores following surgery. The efficacy of OSTAP block alone, a combination of the two blocks, and traditional port site infiltration in alleviating postoperative pain following LC was investigated by Ramkiran et al. [52]. At the two-hour mark following surgery, participants in the combination block group reported much less pain. The combined block group also had much less opioid consumption in the first twenty-four hours after surgery. Study outcomes have been inconsistent, and not all patients have benefited from OSTAP. This is because OSTAP does not dependably cover the lateral and posterior abdominal walls. There is some variation from provider to provider, and there is also a technological aspect to this block.

Rectus Sheath Block

For some laparoscopic surgeries that needed a trocar insertion above the T-10 dermatome, the rectus sheath block has also been investigated. One of the most recent meta-analyses on the effectiveness and safety of RSB in adults having laparoscopic surgery included nine trials and 698 patients [47]. At 0-2 hours postoperatively, RSB was linked to noticeably reduced rest pain levels compared to the control group. In addition, RSB considerably decreased opioid usage and opioid-related adverse effects within 24 hours after surgery, as well as pain scores at rest and while moving between 0-2 hours after surgery. When it came to controlling pain during surgery, preoperative RSB was superior to postoperative block delivery. Without serious side effects, RSB appears to lessen opiate usage and enhance pain control for up to 12 hours after laparoscopic surgery. Maybe the effect of the block in the first 12 hours can be explained by the fact that it was done before the operation. Once again, establishing a link with preventative analgesia is challenging.

Quadratus Lumborum Block

More and more reports of the drug spreading into the paravertebral area have brought quadratum lumborum blocks (QLBs) into the spotlight. Posterior and lateral TAPB for lower abdominal surgical pain duration was the subject of a recent meta-analysis [53].

There were a total of 12 trials with 641 subjects. The lateral technique was the subject of eight trials, whereas the posterior technique was the subject of four. A longer-lasting analgesic effect was observed with the posterior TAPB compared to the lateral TAPB. As mentioned before, the QLB was able to cover dermatome levels T7–T12, while TAPB was able to cover dermatomes T10–T12. When it came to managing postoperative pain in patients having laparoscopic colorectal surgery, Deng et al. [54] contrasted the QLB technique with the TAPB. After their laparoscopic colorectal surgery, 74 patients were randomly randomized to either TAPB with 20 ml of 0.375% ropivacaine or bilateral ultrasound-guided single-dose of QLB. At 24 and 48 hours, the QLB group utilized more sufentanil than the TAPB group; however, at 6 hours, there was no difference.

Erector Spinae Plane Block

The erector spinae block (ESP) is the most recent innovation in laparoscopic cholecystectomy techniques to be studied in patients. In this particular surgical operation, Altiparmak et al. [18] contrasted the use of subcostal TAP with ESP. After laparoscopic cholecystectomy surgery, he discovered that ESP blocks guided by ultrasonography were more successful than OSTAPs in reducing postoperative tramadol intake and pain scores. The dermatomes T6–T10 are covered by OSTAP, whereas somatic and visceral pain are well addressed by ESP. The possibility that a local anesthetic injection at the low thoracic level might extend anteriorly and enter the thoracic paravertebral area has been proposed as one explanation for this finding in cadaveric investigations. According to a recent case study of three patients, local anesthetics can block both visceral and somatic pain by reaching the rami communicantes, which carry fibers to and from the sympathetic ganglia [55]. Additionally, the epidural, neural foraminal, and intercostal regions are all improved pain coverage due to the distribution of the local anesthetic.

Research on the combination of methods is also available. Following laparoscopy-assisted radical excision of early-stage rectal cancer, Liang et al. studied the analgesic benefits of US-guided posterior TAPB with RSB on postoperative pain. One hundred and eighty-eight persons slated for laparoscopy-assisted radical resection of rectal cancer were randomly assigned to one of three groups: Control, US-guided bilateral posterior TAPB alone, or RSB (20 mL 0.33% ropivacaine) with 40 mL of ropivacaine. Significantly less postoperative usage of PCIA and rescue analgesic was observed in the group that received the combination blocks compared to the other two groups. The anatomy and dermatome coverage offered by each approach explain this. Neuraxial blocks are superior than a single approach for covering all incisions and dermatomes, as many others have pointed out. The need of fentanyl is reduced by 20% with TAP block during liver resection, and by more than 60% with RSB plus TAPB [56].

Complications

Reduced pain, less opiate intake and associated side effects, and the possibility of same-day release should be considered against the risks of regional anesthetic, as with any intervention. Infection, hemorrhage, damage to adjacent structures, allergic responses, local anesthetic toxicity, and injury are common problems that can occur with any regional anesthetic surgery. There are documented hazards and side effects connected with some procedures, such as pneumothorax after paravertebral block and spinal hematoma after epidural or intrathecal block, and sympathectomy caused by neuraxial anesthesia, which can lead to hypotension. While peripheral blocks may lower risk, they nevertheless carry the potential of significant consequences [58]. Following the evidence-based guidelines for regional anesthesia in patients receiving antithrombotic or thrombolytic therapy, using proper sterile technique, and, when possible, using ultrasound guidance are all steps that can be taken to reduce the risk to patients [59].

Conclusion

There will be a growing need for anesthetic alternatives that reduce the need for systemic opioids, speed up the recovery of bowel function, and allow for same-day release as the trend toward minimally invasive surgical procedures persists. Postoperative pain, opioid intake, and length of stay following major colorectal and gynecologic laparoscopic operations can be effectively reduced with conventional regional anesthetic alternatives, such as intrathecal opioid administration and thoracic epidural infusions. Unfortunately, hospitalization is necessary for these neuraxial procedures. When compared to intrathecal or epidural blocks, peripheral and paravertebral blocks have several advantages, but they permit laparoscopic surgery in ambulatory surgery centers or same-day hospital discharge. Questions such as when to provide a single injection nerve block, the best way to use continuous block techniques in an ambulatory context, the best type and dosage of local anesthetic, and the best peripheral block techniques are all subjects of continuing research. Nowadays, regional anesthesiologists have a lot of tools at their disposal for easing Post laparoscopic pain; the tools they utilize for a particular patient will depend on the procedure and the operating room.

References

1. Rosero EB, Joshi GP. Hospital readmission after ambulatory laparoscopic cholecystectomy: incidence and predictors. J Surg Res [Internet]. 2017;219:108–15. Available from: 10.1016/j.jss.2017.05.071. This paper addresses hospital readmission after ambulatory laparoscopic cholecystectomy by using big data. [PubMed]
2. Fu H, Fu Y, Xu X, Gao Y. Ultrasound-guided rectus sheath block combined with butorphanol for single-incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy: what is the optimal dose of ropivacaine? J Pain Res. 2020;13:2609–2615. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S265418. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
3. Pirrera B, Alagna V, Lucchi A, Berti P, Gabbianelli C, Martorelli G, et al. Transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block versus thoracic epidural analgesia (TEA) in laparoscopic colon surgery in the ERAS program. Surg Endosc. 2018;32(1):376–382. doi: 10.1007/s00464-017-5686-7. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
4. Maloney C, Kallis M, El-Shafy IA, Lipskar AM, Hagen J, Kars M. Ultrasound-guided bilateral rectus sheath block vs. conventional local analgesia in single port laparoscopic appendectomy for children with nonperforated appendicitis. J Pediatr Surg [Internet]. 2018;53(3):431–6. Available from: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2017.05.027. [PubMed]
5. Steiner CA, Zeynal K, Moore BJ, Imshaug MC, Pickens G. Surgeries in hospital-based ambulatory surgery and hospital inpatient settings [Internet]. H-CUP. 2020 [cited 2021 Oct 8]. Available from: https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb223-Ambulatory-Inpatient-Surgeries-2014.jsp. [PubMed]
6. Gignoux B, Blanchet MC, Lanz T, Vulliez A, Saffarini M, Bothorel H, et al. Should ambulatory appendectomy become the standard treatment for acute appendicitis? World J Emerg Surg. 2018;13(1):1–8. doi: 10.1186/s13017-018-0191-4. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
7. Chamberlain RS, Sakpal SV. A Comprehensive review of single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS) and natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) techniques for cholecystectomy. J Gastrointest Surg. 2009;13(9):1733–1740. doi: 10.1007/s11605-009-0902-y. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
8. Hain E, Maggiori L, Prost à la Denise J, Panis Y. Transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block in laparoscopic colorectal surgery improves postoperative pain management: a meta-analysis. Color Dis. 2018;20(4):279–87. [PubMed]
9. Nyerges A. Pain mechanisms in laparoscopic surgery. Semin Laparosc Surg. 1994;1(4):215–218. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
10. Naja ZM, El-Rajab M, Ziade F, Al-Tannir M, Itani T. Preoperative vs. postoperative bilateral paravertebral blocks for laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a prospective randomized clinical trial. Pain Pract. 2011;11(6):509–15. [PubMed]
11. Ekstein P, Szold A, Sagie B, Werbin N, Klausner JM, Weinbroum AA. Laparoscopic surgery may be associated with severe pain and high analgesia requirements in the immediate postoperative period. Ann Surg. 2006;243(1):41–46. doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000193806.81428.6f. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
12. Mouton WG, Bessell JR, Otten KT, Maddern GJ. Pain after laparoscopy. Surg Endosc. 1999;13(5):445–448. doi: 10.1007/s004649901011. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
13. Hebbard PD, Barrington MJ, Vasey C. Ultrasound-guided continuous oblique subcostal transversus abdominis plane blockade: description of anatomy and clinical technique. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2010;35(5):436–441. doi: 10.1097/AAP.0b013e3181e66702. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
14. • El-Boghdadly K, Wolmarans M, Stengel AD, Albrecht E, Chin KJ, Elsharkawy H, et al. Standardizing nomenclature in regional anesthesia: an ASRA-ESRA Delphi consensus study of abdominal wall, paraspinal, and chest wall blocks. Reg Anesth Pain Med.

2021;46(7):571–80. This paper addresses the need for standardization of the current abdominal wall, paraspinal and chest wall regional techniques. This allows better research and clinical application of the techniques. [PubMed]
15. Elsharkawy H, El-Boghdadly K, Barrington M. Quadratus lumborum block. Anesthesiology. 2019;130(2):322–335. doi: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000002524. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
16. Drake, Richard. Vogl, W. Mitchell A. Gray's anatomy for students. In: Gray's anatomy for students. 4th ed.
17. Vleeming A, Schuenke MD, Danneels L, Willard FH. The functional coupling of the deep abdominal and paraspinal muscles: the effects of simulated paraspinal muscle contraction on force transfer to the middle and posterior layer of the thoracolumbar fascia. J Anat. 2014;225(4):447–462. doi: 10.1111/joa.12227. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
18. Altıparmak B, Korkmaz Toker M, Uysal AI, Kuşçu Y, Gümüş Demirbilek S. Ultrasound-guided erector spinae plane block versus oblique subcostal transversus abdominis plane block for postoperative analgesia of adult patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy: randomized, controlled trial. J Clin Anesth [Internet]. 2019;57(December 2018):31–6. Available from: 10.1016/j.jclinane.2019.03.012. This paper is one of the first ones to address the effectiveness of erector spinae plane block for ambulatory laparoscopic surgery. [PubMed]
19. Lim Y, Ocampo CE, Sia AT. A comparison of duration of analgesia of intrathecal 2.5 mg of bupivacaine, ropivacaine, and levobupivacaine in combined spinal epidural analgesia for patients in labor. Anesth Analg. 2004;98(1):235–9. [PubMed]
20. Rasmussen SB, Saied NN, Bowens C, Mercaldo ND, Schildcrout JS, Malchow RJ. Duration of upper and lower extremity peripheral nerve blockade is prolonged with dexamethasone when added to ropivacaine: a retrospective database analysis. Pain Med (United States) 2013;14(8):1239–1247. doi: 10.1111/pme.12150. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
21. Erol DD, Yilmaz S, Polat C, Arikan Y. Efficacy of thoracic epidural analgesia for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Adv Ther. 2008;25(1):45–52. doi: 10.1007/s12325-008-0005-2. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
22. Zingg U, Miskovic D, Hamel CT, Erni L, Oertli D, Metzger U. Influence of thoracic epidural analgesia on postoperative pain relief and ileus after laparoscopic colorectal resection : BBBenefit with epidural analgesia. Surg Endosc. 2009;23(2):276–282. doi: 10.1007/s00464-008-9888-x. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
23. Taqi A, Hong X, Mistraletti G, Stein B, Charlebois P, Carli F. Thoracic epidural analgesia facilitates the restoration of bowel function and dietary intake in patients undergoing laparoscopic colon resection using a traditional, nonaccelerated, perioperative care program. Surg Endosc Other Interv Tech. 2007;21(2):247–252. doi: 10.1007/s00464-006-0069-5. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
24. Kim MK, Baek CW, Kang H, Choi GJ, Park YH, Yang SY, et al. Comparison of emergence after deep extubation using desflurane or desflurane with remifentanil in patients undergoing general anesthesia: a randomized trial. J Clin Anesth. 2016. [PubMed]
25. Yaksh T. The physiology and pharmacology of spinal opiates. Middle East J Anesthesiol. 1990;10(4):413–422. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
26. Karakaya D, Büyükgöz F, Barş S, Güldouş F, Tür A. Addition of fentanyl to bupivacaine prolongs anesthesia and analgesia in axillary brachial plexus block. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2001;26(5):434–438. doi: 10.1097/00115550-200109000-00008. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
27. Candido KD, Winnie AP, Ghaleb AH, Fattouh MW, Franco CD. Buprenorphine added to the local anesthetic for axillary brachial plexus block prolongs postoperative analgesia. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2002;27(2):162–167. doi: 10.1053/rapm.2002.30671. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
28. Fanelli G, Casati A, Magistris L, Berti M, Albertin M Scarioni A, Torri G. Fentanyl does not improve the nerve block characteristics of axillary brachial plexus anaesthesia performed with ropivacaine. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2001;45(5):590–4. [PubMed]
29. Kong SK, Onsiong SMK, Chiu WKY, Li MKW. Use of intrathecal morphine for postoperative pain relief after elective laparoscopic colorectal surgery. Anaesthesia. 2002;57(12):1168–1173. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2044.2002.02873.x. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
30. Motamed C, Bouaziz H, Franco D, Benhamou D. Analgesic effect of low-dose intrathecal morphine and bupivacaine in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Anaesthesia. 2000;55(2):118–124. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2044.2000.055002118.x. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
31. Kang RA, Chin KJ, Gwak MS, Kim GS, Choi SJ, Kim JM, et al. Bilateral single-injection erector spinae plane block versus intrathecal morphine for postoperative analgesia in living donor laparoscopic hepatectomy: a randomized non-inferiority trial. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2019;44(12):1059–1065. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
32. Sultan P, Gutierrez MC, Carvalho B. Neuraxial morphine and respiratory depression: Finding the right balance. Drugs. 2011;71(14):1807–1819. doi: 10.2165/11596250-000000000-00000. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
33. Aydin G, Aydin O. The efficacy of ultrasound-guided paravertebral block in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Med. 2018;54(5):2–9. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
34. Naja MZ, Ziade MF, Lönnqvist PA. General anaesthesia combined with bilateral paravertebral blockade (T5–6) vs. general anaesthesia for laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a prospective, randomized clinical trial. Vol. 21, European Journal of Anaesthesiology. 2004. p. 489–95. [PubMed]
35. Naja ZM, El-Rajab M, Al-Tannir MA, Ziade FM, Tayara K, Younes F, et al. Thoracic paravertebral block: influence of the number of injections. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2006;31(3):196–201. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

36. Schneider LF, Albornoz CR, Huang J, Cordeiro PG. Incidence of pneumothorax during tissue expander-implant reconstruction and algorithm for intraoperative management. Ann Plast Surg. 2014;73(3):279–281. doi: 10.1097/SAP.0b013e31827e2936. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
37. Niesen AD, Jacob AK, Law LA, Sviggum HP, Johnson RL. Complication rate of ultrasound-guided paravertebral block for breast surgery. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2020;45(10):813–817. doi: 10.1136/rapm-2020-101402. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
38. Krishna Prasad GV, Khanna S, Jaishree S. Review of adjuvants to local anesthetics in peripheral nerve blocks: current and future trends. Saudi J Anaesth. 2020;14(1):77–84. doi: 10.4103/sja.SJA_423_19. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
39. Choi S, Rodseth R, McCartney CJL. Effects of dexamethasone as a local anaesthetic adjuvant for brachial plexus block: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. Br J Anaesth [Internet]. 2014;112(3):427–39. Available from: 10.1093/bja/aet417. [PubMed]
40. Oh TK, Lee SJ, Do SH, Song IA. Transversus abdominis plane block using a short-acting local anesthetic for postoperative pain after laparoscopic colorectal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Surg Endosc. 2018;32(2):545–552. doi: 10.1007/s00464-017-5871-8. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
41. Ortiz J, Suliburk JW, Wu K, Bailard NS, Mason C, Minard CG, et al. Bilateral transversus abdominis plane block does not decrease postoperative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy when compared with local anesthetic infiltration of trocar insertion sites. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2012;37(2):188–192. doi: 10.1097/AAP.0b013e318244851b. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
42. Ilfeld BM. Continuous peripheral nerve blocks: a review of the published evidence. Anesth Analg. 2011;113(4):904–925. doi: 10.1213/ANE.0b013e3182285e01. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
43. Ilfeld BM. Continuous peripheral nerve blocks: an update of the published evidence and comparison with novel, alternative analgesic modalities. Anesth Analg. 2017;124(1):308–335. doi: 10.1213/ANE.0000000000001581. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
44. Finneran JJ, Alexander B, Bechis SK, Sur RL, Ilfeld BM. Continuous erector spinae plane blocks with automated boluses for analgesia following percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Korean J Anesthesiol. 2021;74(2):178–180. doi: 10.4097/kja.20398. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
45. Chen L, Wu Y, Cai Y, Ye Y, Li L, Xia Y, et al. Comparison of programmed intermittent bolus infusion and continuous infusion for postoperative patient-controlled analgesia with thoracic paravertebral block catheter: a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2019;44(2):240–245. doi: 10.1136/rapm-2018-000031. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
46. Wu L, Wu L, Sun H, Dong C, Yu J. Corrigendum: effect of ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve blocks of the abdominal wall on pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy (J Pain Res. 2019;12:1433–1439). J Pain Res. 2020;13:2169. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
47. Hamid HKS, Ahmed AY, Alhamo MA, Davis GN. Efficacy and safety profile of rectus sheath block in adult laparoscopic surgery: a meta-analysis. J Surg Res [Internet]. 2021;261:10–7. Available from: 10.1016/j.jss.2020.12.003. [PubMed]
48. Wu RC, Jensen CC, Douaiher J, Madoff RD, Kwaan MR. Transversus abdominis plane block in laparoscopic colorectal surgery: a systematic review. Dis Colon Rectum. 2019;62(10):1248–1255. doi: 10.1097/DCR.0000000000001464. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
49. Selcuk S, Api M, Polat M, Arinkan A, Aksoy B, Akca T, et al. Effectiveness of local anesthetic on postoperative pain in different levels of laparoscopic gynecological surgery. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2016;293(6):1279–1285. doi: 10.1007/s00404-015-3984-7. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
50. Oksar M, Koyuncu O, Turhanoglu S, Temiz M, Oran MC. Transversus abdominis plane block as a component of multimodal analgesia for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. J Clin Anesth [Internet]. 2016;34:72–8. Available from: 10.1016/j.jclinane.2016.03.033. [PubMed]
51. Basaran B, Basaran A, Kozanhan B, Kasdogan E, Eryilmaz MA, Ozmen S. Analgesia and respiratory function after laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients receiving ultrasound-guided bilateral oblique subcostal transversus abdominis plane block: a randomized double-blind study. Med Sci Monit. 2015;21:1304–1312. doi: 10.12659/MSM.893593. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
52. Ramkiran S, Jacob M, Honwad M, Vivekanand D, Krishnakumar M, Patrikar S. Ultrasound-guided combined fascial plane blocks as an intervention for pain management after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a randomized control study. Anesth Essays Res. 2018;12(1):16. doi: 10.4103/aer.AER_157_17. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
53. Abdallah FW, Laffey JG, Halpern SH, Brull R. Duration of analgesic effectiveness after the posterior and lateral transversus abdominis plane block techniques for transverse lower abdominal incisions: a meta-analysis. Br J Anaesth [Internet]. 2013;111(5):721–35. Available from: 10.1093/bja/aet214. [PubMed]
54. Deng W, Long X, Li M, Li C, Guo L, Xu G, et al. Quadratus lumborum block versus transversus abdominis plane block for postoperative pain management after laparoscopic colorectal surgery: a randomized controlled trial. Med (United States) 2019;98(52):1–5. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

55. Chin KJ, Malhas L, Perlas A. The erector spinae plane block provides visceral abdominal analgesia in bariatric surgery a report of 3 cases. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2017;42(3):372–376. doi: 10.1097/AAP.0000000000000581. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
56. Yassen K, Lotfy M, Miligi A, Sallam A, Hegazi EARAM. Patient-controlled analgesia with and without transverse abdominis plane and rectus sheath space block in cirrhotic patients undergoing liver resection. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2019;35(1):58–64. doi: 10.4103/joacp.JOACP_36_17. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
57. Shahait M, Lee DI. Application of TAP block in laparoscopic urological surgery: current status and future directions. Curr Urol Rep. 2019;20(5):13–16. doi: 10.1007/s11934-019-0883-7. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
58. Ueshima H. Pneumothorax after the erector spinae plane block. J Clin Anesth [Internet]. 2018;48(April):12. Available from: 10.1016/j.jclinane.2018.04.009. [PubMed]
59. Horlocker TT, Vandermeuelen E, Kopp SL, Gogarten W, Leffert LR, Benzon HT. Regional anesthesia in the patient receiving antithrombotic or thrombolytic therapy: American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicin Evidence-Based Guidelines (Fourth Edition). Vol. 43, Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. 2018;263–309. [PubMed]